



HERITAGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, October 5, 2016 at 7:00pm
Salmon River Committee Room
4th Floor, 20338 – 65 Avenue, Langley, BC

A G E N D A

Page

A. APPROVAL AND RECEIPT OF AGENDA ITEMS

1. Heritage Advisory Committee October 5, 2016

Recommendation that the Heritage Advisory Committee approve the agenda and receive the agenda items of the October 5, 2016 meeting.

B. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1-9

1. Heritage Advisory Committee September 7, 2016

Recommendation that the Heritage Advisory Committee adopt the Minutes of the September 7, 2016 meeting.

C. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

D. REPORTS

1. Co-Chairs' Reports

2. Heritage Planner's Report (E. Horricks)

3. Museum Manager's Report (P. Tulumello)

4. Heritage Review Panel (T. Annandale)

10-11

5. Douglas Day 2016 Planning Committee Report (A. Johnson)

6. Museum Advisory Group Report (F. Pepin)

E. CORRESPONDENCE

- 12-13 1. **Nominations for New Stop of Interest Signs**

F. 2016 WORK PROGRAM

1. **Committee Terms of Reference Review**
2. **Community Heritage Register Recognition Program for 2017**
3. **Fraser Highway Mile Markers**

G. COUNCIL REFERRALS

1. **Rainbow Crosswalk at Glover and Mavis in Fort Langley**

That Council authorize staff to refer the concept of installing rainbow-coloured crosswalks at the intersection of Glover Road and Mavis Avenue in Fort Langley to the Business Improvement Association (BIA) and the Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC) for their input; and direct staff to include related costs in the 2017 Budget for Council's consideration and subsequent implementation.

Amendment

That the concept of a Rainbow Crosswalk in Fort Langley also be referred to staff for input regarding this project as a public art initiative.

CARRIED

Main Motion, as amended

The question was called on the Main Motion, as amended, and it was
CARRIED

H. OTHER BUSINESS AND ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

1. **Committee Application Process 2017**

Members of the Heritage Advisory Committee whose terms are expiring in December 2016 are welcome to re-apply at www.tol.ca/committees. Application deadline is October 28, 2016.

- 14-18 2. **Building Capacity for Heritage Conversation, BCHC 2015 Summary Report**
- 19-20 3. **World Heritage in Canada, Parks Canada Call for Applications
Deadline: January 27, 2017**

I. **NEXT MEETING**

Date: Wednesday, November 2, 2016
Location: Salmon River Committee Room
4th Floor, 20338 – 65 Avenue
Time: 7:00 – 9:00pm

J. **TERMINATE**



HERITAGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, September 7, 2016 at 7:00pm
Salmon River Committee Room
4th Floor, 20338 – 65 Avenue, Langley, BC

MINUTES

Present:

Councillor P. Arnason, Co-Chair
T. Annandale, Co-Chair

C. Boughen, A. Cappon, G. Doubleday, A. Johnson, W. Mufford, and H. Whittell

Staff:

J. Chenatte, Acting Cultural Services Manager
E. Horricks, Heritage Planner
C. Moore, Recording Secretary

A. APPROVAL AND RECEIPT OF AGENDA ITEMS

1. Heritage Advisory Committee September 7, 2016

Moved by W. Mufford,
Seconded by H. Whittell,
That the Heritage Advisory Committee approve the agenda and receive the
agenda items of the September 7, 2016 meeting.
CARRIED

B. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1. Heritage Advisory Committee June 7, 2016

Moved by A. Cappon,
Seconded by C. Boughen,
That the Heritage Advisory Committee adopt the Minutes of the June 7, 2016
meeting.
CARRIED

C. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

D. REPORTS

1. **Co-Chairs' Reports**

Councillor Arnason reported that she viewed the Portland Historical Society's building during a walking tour of downtown Portland this summer. She also visited a young historians' kiosk on the tour that had completed an oral research project in which community elders had shared their histories and stories with school and teenage children. She added that research has shown that the intergenerational networking provided by these opportunities has been effective in educating the youth about their community, while providing a more relaxed and positive environment for elders to share their stories than peer interviews often provide. She inquired as to whether a pilot project of this nature would be worth exploring.

J. Chenatte noted that a program such as this could function well as a virtual museum with photos provided by the interviewees, and could potentially be funded with grant monies. He indicated that he would speak to a teacher resource at the Langley Fine Arts School to see whether she would be interested in an initiative like this as a class project.

T. Annandale reported that he enjoyed a quiet summer and has been active with a number of projects that he will report on in the near future.

2. **Heritage Planner's Report**

E. Horricks reported the following:

Heritage Building Incentive Program:

- The fall deadline for the next call for grant applications is Friday, September 30 at 4:30pm. Please pass this information along to anyone who may be interested.

Old Yale Road:

- Direction has been provided by Township of Langley Council for staff to continue with Commemorative Option B for Old Yale Road (hardcopy provided on table with an electronic copy available on the Township's website). The final design details will be worked out further this year.

Murrayville Cenotaph Restoration:

- Heritage Planning and Parks Operations have been successful in securing a grant from the Veteran's Affairs Canada Cenotaph and Monument Restoration Program for of up to \$22,500 to restore the Murrayville Cenotaph. The national awards committee indicated support for the approach outlined in the Conservation Plan which formed part of the application (hardcopy provided on table). The restoration work is being planned for 2017.

D. REPORTS

3. **Museum Manager's Report**

J. Chenatte reported the following:

Facility Repairs:

- The storage addition on the south side of the museum is approaching completion, and work on the HVAC system is in its preliminary stages. In the absence of original floor plans for the museum, CAD drawings have also been prepared for the museum for future planning and maintenance purposes.

Programs and Staff:

- 112 schools booked school visits on the first day that was open for bookings this year, which comprises approximately 30% of the bookings that can be accommodated annually.
- L. Pollack and A. Minto are temporarily filling the role of Educator at the Museum.

Events and Programs:

- Upcoming programs include a Culture Days walking tour on October 3 and a bus tour on October 1, 2016.
- The Museum will be sharing a tent with the Langley Heritage Society for the Country Celebration at Campbell Valley Park on September 17 & 18.
- A Halloween event is scheduled for Saturday, October 29. The Pioneer Christmas Event is scheduled for December 3, and Christmas on King Street Event, co-sponsored by the Langley Arts Council and the BC Farm Machinery and Agricultural Museum, is scheduled for December 10, 2016.
- The 2016 Canada Day event was the most successful to date with over 15,000 people in attendance compared to 1,500 five years ago.
- The current exhibition is entitled "Form and Function: A Showcase of Contemporary BC Furniture Designers". A reception will be held on September 8 at 7:00pm. Everyone is welcome to attend.
- The next exhibition is "It's Alive! The Development of Modern Electricity and Electromagnetism", which will run from October 19, 2016 to December 23, 2016.
- The Jackman research project is in its final editorial stages; dependent on funding, the project may lead to a publication and virtual exhibit.

4. **Heritage Review Panel**

No report.

5. **Douglas Day 2016 Planning Committee Report**

A. Johnson stated that the committee has had two meetings since June, and reported the following:

- The Douglas Day event is scheduled for Saturday, November 19, 2016 and will be about two hours in duration. Guests will be received in the

D. REPORTS

foyer as larger numbers are expected this year due to the event being held on a Saturday as opposed to a weekday.

- A short brochure outlining the history of several corner stores will be prepared for handout at the event to promote the theme for this year. To date over a dozen corner stores have been identified that existed prior to 1950.
- The ticket price for 2016 has increased to \$32 due to rising costs.
- J. Roberts' photograph has been selected for the newspaper ads which will be running shortly.
- The BC Farm Machinery and Agricultural Museum will be supplying some memorabilia for display purposes and the Porter truck will be present on site.
- Friends of the Fort have assisted with serving at the event in the past, but eight to ten additional volunteers will be required this year to help serve.

J. Chenatte suggested offering the opportunity to serve to our youth volunteers.

A. Johnson will follow up with J. Chenatte to discuss this possibility further.

6. **Museum Advisory Group Report**

No report.

E. CORRESPONDENCE

1. **Brookwood/Fernridge Community Plan Update**

E. Horricks noted that the Township is in the early stages of a planning process to update the Brookwood-Fernridge Community Plan. Committee members are being invited to participate in the consultative process which is now underway. Interested members should contact R. Nelson in Long Range Planning.

In response to a query regarding the heritage of the area, it was noted that potential heritage resources have already been identified and assessed to determine whether they meet the Township's heritage criteria. Sites of interest include the Fernridge Hall, Brookwood Community Centre (now the Langley Playhouse), Saint Paul's Anglican Church (now Langley Life Tabernacle Church), and the Glenwood School.

F. 2016 WORK PROGRAM

1. **Historic Context Statement and Thematic Framework**

T. Annandale thanked E. Horricks for the comprehensive terms of reference and encouraged the membership to read through it to assist in their understanding of what lays ahead for the project. He added that the project will comprise a large part of the committee's work plan between now and June, 2017.

F. 2016 WORK PROGRAM

E. Horricks noted that the Terms of Reference were endorsed by Township of Langley Council on June 13, 2016. She added that one item that remains to be completed is to establish a task force.

T. Annandale noted that further to the recommendations made by the committee membership in previous meetings regarding appointments to the task force, the Co-Chairs and staff met on two occasions during the summer and have confirmed the following eight appointments to the task force:

- 1) John Aldag – MP Langley-Cloverdale, and former Manager of the Parks Canada National Historic Sites Coastal Branch;
- 2) Ashley Doyle – Manager of Lands and Resources, Kwantlen First Nation;
- 3) Mark Forsythe – Journalist and former host of CBC Almanac, and current Director of the Langley Heritage Society;
- 4) Dick Klein Geltink - Glen Valley Dairy Farmer, and current Member of the BC Milk Marketing Board;
- 5) Jim McGregor – Past City of Langley Fire Chief, and current Chair of the Justice Institute of BC;
- 6) Debbie Miller – Spokesperson and Head of Treaty Negotiations, Katzie First Nation;
- 7) Jane Watt – Writer, Historian and Past Chair of the Heritage Advisory Committee;
- 8) Adam Cappon – Archaeologist, and current member of the Heritage Advisory Committee;
- 9) Staff as required.

Donald Luxton and Associates, who have recently completed a similar project for the City of Vancouver, have been contracted to lead the project based on their extensive experience. Staff will schedule the first task force meeting after obtaining approval from Council for the proposed time frame for the Task Force, as per the requirements of the Council Advisory Committee Establishment Bylaw pertaining to Task Force duration.

COUNCIL

Moved by W. Mufford,

Seconded by H. Whittell,

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommends that Council approve establishment of a task force, as per the Terms of Reference endorsed by Council on June 13, 2016, comprised of the following members: J. Aldag, A. Doyle, M. Forsythe, D. Klein Geltink, J. McGregor, D. Miller, J. Watt and A. Cappon, to run for the duration of the Historic Context and Themes Project to June 30, 2017.

CARRIED

2. Interpretive Signage for the Hudson's Bay Company Farm

E. Horricks noted that one of the items on the committee's work plan this year is to explore signage opportunities for the historic Hudson Bay Company (HBC) Farm in Milner. This work plan item was discussed earlier this year when the Committee looked at options for raising awareness of the history of this area.

F. 2016 WORK PROGRAM

Background materials provided to assist with the committee's deliberations including the following:

- A researched history of the HBC farms in Langley with special emphasis on the Milner Farm.
- The historic boundaries of the farm and where they fall today.
- Interpretive sites that already exist that address the Milner Farm including:
 - the Milner Cairn completed in 2013 which recognizes First Nations, the Hudson Bay Company, the historic Milner Church and the early growth of the Milner;
 - Signage on the Milner escarpment that addresses the history of the valley, its vegetation, varying uses, and the HBC Farm;
 - Other existing commemorations that are within the HBC Farm boundaries, such as the 1946 Portage Marker/Jardine Crossing commemoration.
- Potential locations for future signage, such as:
 - The existing greenway on the south side of Mufford Crescent;
 - The trail currently planned for the Dixon Site and McLeod Park;
 - The future extension of 80 Avenue onto Labonte Avenue on the west side of Glover Road across from TWU;
 - The parkette associated with the Portage Marker/Jardine Crossing on Glover Road;
 - Other locations above the original farm on the escarpment;
 - Vehicular entry points to the original farm boundaries on the map; and
- Examples of various types of sign options.

Discussion ensued and it was agreed that provision of simple signage at key vehicular entry points to the original Farm boundaries should be explored. W. Mufford confirmed there are six entry points and noted that the Milner Community Association would be responsive to such signage.

Staff will develop a design concept for the signage for the committee to review at a future meeting.

3. **Fraser Highway Mile Markers Update**

Staff have now plotted the locations of the Fraser Highway Markers on a geographic map and will be going to the sites this week to find acceptable locations for those markers that need re-positioning. Considerations for relocating them will include: traffic safety, distance from the original location, potential for future road widening, and maintaining visibility to the markers as points of interest.

Out of the original nine markers that would have existed in the Township, two are lost, two are in place and five are out of position. Once possible locations for the markers have been determined, staff will proceed with finalizing a relocation plan.

Although the original point of origin for the markers has been speculated as being the historic location of the main post office at 701 West Hastings Street in Vancouver, further conversation with J. Foulks has indicated that point zero may

F. 2016 WORK PROGRAM

have been in the vicinity of Hastings and Main Street in Vancouver. It still remains unclear as to who initiated the markers, but J. Foulks indicated that they may have been associated with one of the automobile clubs or associations of the time as no government records that address them have surfaced to date. It was also observed that their obelisk shape was created by a mould, and given their weight they were likely poured in situ.

Further consideration will be given to discreet brass plaques for the seven markers, and survey pegs to mark the two that are lost, as part of the relocation process that includes information on what they are and the longitude and latitude of a particular marker's original location.

E. Horricks thanked H. Whittell for his extensive background work with J. Foulks in locating the markers which has made the mapping possible.

G. COUNCIL REFERRALS

H. OTHER BUSINESS AND ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

1. Heritage Canada The National Trust, "Financial Measures to Encourage Heritage Development", (Full Report)

E. Horricks noted that in late 2014 the HAC received a presentation on various tax incentive programs in BC and elsewhere and their overall effectiveness.

One of the long-term action items for the HAC in the Heritage Strategy is to look at conservation tax incentives for heritage building owners. This report on financial measures that encourage heritage development is based on a survey undertaken by the National Trust and is intended as additional information regarding tax incentives for future consideration.

This survey of the development community is the first pan-Canadian sampling of its type and identifies the key incentives identified by all stakeholders for addressing heritage projects. Although the ranking varied, the top five measures remained the same for all stakeholders.

Key factors that discourage heritage development include:

- Low return on investment;
- Limits on Development Potential;
- Complexity of Building Code Compliance (in larger projects and those in new construction);
- The "unexpected" in heritage projects; and
- Delayed return on investment.

The five financial measures identified which alleviate these challenges included:

- Ongoing property tax relief;
- Heritage grants;

H. OTHER BUSINESS AND ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

- Refundable income tax credits;
- Non-refundable income tax credits; and
- Property tax abatement.

Given that the development community is diverse in its composition and investment expectations, the report recommends that when considering financial measures that might assist heritage development, the key is to identify and pursue a variety of financial measures that can be combined to affect a diverse range of development and investment models.

Currently incentives for retention in the Township are negotiated on a case-by-case basis and include a range of measures including tax exemption. The Township's Heritage Building Incentive Program (HBIP) also plays a positive role in incentivizing investment in heritage through the provision of grants, but is more geared to individual building owners than developers given the size of the grants. The HBIP grants have achieved a high leverage for investment to date, with a ratio of approximately 1:12 (grant dollars to dollars invested in heritage projects), while a typical leverage ratio for similar grant programs is approximately 1:4.

2. **Heritage BC, "Japanese Canadian Historic Places"** **Nomination Deadline: September 9, 2016**

E. Horricks noted that the nomination period for this initiative has been extended so there is still time to consider a submission if there is anything in the Township that the committee feels is relevant for consideration. She noted that during the Second World War there was a Japanese schoolhouse in Walnut Grove, and archival information also exists on the Takeda family home. She asked whether anyone was familiar with these sites.

H. Whittell noted there was a small green building called the "Port Kells Boxing Club" in the early 1960s on 96 Avenue in Walnut Grove which may have been used for other purposes earlier on, and noted that the Symington family may have some knowledge about it.

C. Boughen noted there is a small collection of Japanese graves in the Murrayville Cemetery that indicates the presence of a small community at one time.

I. NEXT MEETING

Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2016
Location: Salmon River Committee Room
 4th Floor, 20338 – 65 Avenue
Time: 7:00 – 9:00pm

J. **TERMINATE**

Moved by H. Whittell,
That the meeting terminate at 8:30pm.
CARRIED

CERTIFIED CORRECT:

Community Representative Co-Chair

Council Representative Co-Chair



2016 DOUGLAS DAY PLANNING COMMITTEE

Tuesday, September 13, 2016, 10:30am
Township Civic Facility – Bertrand Creek Meeting Room

MINUTES

	<p>PRESENT Alice Johnson, Ellen Worrell, Jim McGregor, Rosemary Genberg & Albert Anderson</p>
	<p>TOWNSHIP STAFF Sarah Morris – Special Events Coordinator</p>
<u>A</u> WELCOME	
	The meeting commenced at 10:30am.
<u>B</u>	
<u>Item B-1</u> Design Reviews	<p>Invitation – the invitation design has been finalized by the committee.</p> <p>Country Stores Program Insert – the insert was reviewed and the committee chose 3 photographs to be used in the final product. Committee members will review content and inform Sarah of any required changes.</p> <p>Pin – the pin colours have been finalized by the committee. Green (outside), beige (inside), and gold (text) were chosen.</p>
<u>Item B-2</u> Tickets	The ticket has been approved – the ticket colour will be green for this year. The ticket cost for this year will be \$32.
<u>Item B-3</u> Grace	The committee suggested Duane Benson to say grace this year. Jim will contact Duane to ask if he would be interested.
<u>Item B-4</u> PowerPoint Presentation	Sharon Fisher is confirmed to do this year's PowerPoint again. Similar to last year, only the names of pioneers in attendance will be announced at the banquet.
<u>Item B-5</u> Memorabilia Display	<p>Sarah will contact Syd Pickerell (BC Farm Museum) to make arrangements to borrow items for the memorabilia display. Alice and Sarah will visit the museum in October to choose items for the display. Sarah will work with the designers to create signage for the table.</p> <p>Rosemary will bring some possible display items to the next meeting.</p> <p>Ellen will contact Fred Pepin to confirm the arrival time of Porter's truck.</p>
<u>Item B-6</u> Volunteer Update	<p>Bruce Mavis and the FLNHS volunteers are unavailable to assist this year. Bruce will be invited to this year's event as a special guest for his many years of service.</p> <p>Alice mentioned the Museum may be able to provide volunteers instead. Shannon and Emily Schaffer (Alice's neighbours) are also interested in volunteering.</p> <p>Sarah will speak with the catering company to confirm how many volunteers would be needed to replace the FLNHS volunteers, and will contact the Museum to ask for volunteer support.</p>
<u>Item B-8</u> Pioneers	To date 8 deceased pioneers and 1 new pioneer.

<u>C</u>	NEXT MEETING
<u>Item C-1</u> Next Meeting	Tuesday, October 4, 2016, 10:30am Township Civic Facility – Bertrand Creek Meeting Room
<u>D</u>	ADJOURNMENT The meeting adjourned at 11:15am.



September 26, 2016

Reference: 260872

Dear Union of B.C. Municipalities and Local Governments:

Re: Nominations for New Stop of Interest Signs

Following my announcement at the 2016 Union of BC Municipalities (UBCM) Convention this morning, I am pleased to invite you and your representatives to participate in the Province of British Columbia's Stop of Interest sign engagement and nomination process, taking place this fall and winter.

The Province is proud to announce the addition of up to 75 new Stop of Interest signs throughout British Columbia, bringing further awareness and recognition to our collective history and provincial heritage. This process augments our work to rejuvenate approximately 100 of the 139 existing Stop of Interest signs province-wide.

In order to ensure a well-rounded and comprehensive complement of new signs, we are asking local governments, First Nations, tourism associations, stakeholders and the public to nominate people, places or events of provincial significance they would like to see commemorated. Please share this invitation with businesses in your community and anyone else who would be interested.

The nomination period will be open from September 26, 2016, to January 31, 2017, after which we will evaluate the submissions on criteria such as the impact that the person, place or event has had on the lives of British Columbians.

For more information on Stops of Interest and associated signs currently in place across the province, or to access the nomination form to suggest a new sign, please visit our engagement web site at <http://engage.gov.bc.ca/stopsofinterest/>

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Sandra Toth Nacey, the ministry's Director of Business Management Services. She can be reached in Victoria at 250 356-9768 or at Sandra.TothNacey@gov.bc.ca and would be pleased to assist you.

.../2

- 2 -

I encourage you to take this opportunity to highlight more of your local history and connect your community and its visitors to British Columbia's captivating past.

Sincerely,



Todd G. Stone
Minister

Copy to: Sandra Toth Nacey, Director
Business Management Services Branch

Building Capacity for Heritage Conservation Survey: 2015 Summary Report

This report summarizes information gathered from local governments (municipalities and regional districts) in British Columbia through the 2015 Building Capacity for Heritage Conservation (BCHC) Survey. It provides a snapshot in time of heritage conservation from the 2015 calendar year, as reported by participating local government staff to Heritage BC working on behalf of the Heritage Branch.

The annual survey raises awareness of heritage conservation tools and emphasizes the strategies that are most effective in building heritage conservation capacity at the local level. The survey facilitates the assessment of heritage conservation capacity across the province and provides information to support Heritage Branch policy planning.

The BCHC survey is sent to all 190 local governments each year; the number and set of local governments responding to the survey changes each year.

Survey Responses

- 130 local governments in B.C. (68.4%) responded to the 2015 survey
Note: not all 130 local governments provided responses to all questions

Official Community Plan (OCP)

- 102 respondents (78.5%¹) reported the inclusion of wording on heritage conservation in the OCP

Community Heritage Commission

- 38 respondents (29.2%) reported the existence of a community heritage commission
- 22 respondents (16.9%) reported the existence of a similar entity

Planning Documents

- 7 respondents (5.4%) reported preparing Conservation Plans
- 4 respondents (3.1%) reported preparing Heritage Context Studies
- 2 respondents (1.5%) reported preparing Heritage Feasibility Studies
- 3 respondents (2.3%) reported preparing Heritage Tourism Plans
- 5 respondents (3.8%) reported preparing Heritage Strategic Plans
- 5 respondents (3.8%) reported holding Heritage Values Workshops
- 8 respondents (6.2%) reported preparing heritage Design Guidelines

¹ Percentages are calculated based on the total number of respondents to this year's survey unless indicated otherwise.

Planning Documents (continued)

- 18 respondents (13.9%) reported undertaking 'Other' heritage-related planning activities
 - These included Community Cultural Plans, Heritage Conservation Program Review and Update, and Heritage Asset Management Strategies.

Community Heritage Register

- 45 respondents (34.6%) reported having a community heritage register in place
- 4,710 was the total reported number of historic places listed on community heritage registers
 - 1,513 of these 4,710 (32.1%) were reported to be fully documented for the BC Register of Historic Places including a statement of significance

Standards and Guidelines

- 52 respondents (40.0%) reported that they use the *Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places*²

Heritage Promotion/Interpretation

- 54 respondents (41.5%) included heritage content on their websites
 - 28 of those 54 respondents (51.9%) included specific information about historic places listed on the community heritage register on their websites
 - 11 of those 54 respondents (20.4%) updated the heritage content on their websites multiple times per year
 - 30 of those 54 respondents (55.6%) updated the heritage content on their websites once per year
- 30 respondents (23.1%) installed or spent money on heritage signs or plaques
- 33 respondents (25.4%) published community heritage brochures
- 36 respondents (27.7%) hosted an event, festival or ceremony that celebrated historic places
 - 105 events were hosted
- \$865,429.01 was the total reported annual expenditure by all respondents on heritage promotion/interpretation activities
- 51 respondents (39.2%) reported having events planned for Canada's 150th birthday in 2017

Heritage Protection

- 1741 historic places were reported to have heritage designation protection
- 36 historic places were reported to have been designated in 2015
- 13 respondents (10.0%) reported issuing heritage alteration permits
 - 98 heritage alteration permits were issued in 2015

² Available free online at <http://www.historicplaces.ca/en/pages/standards-normes.aspx>

Heritage Protection (continued)

- 10 respondents (7.7%) reported making heritage revitalization agreements with owners of heritage properties in 2015
 - 19 heritage revitalization agreements were made
- 2 respondents (1.5%) reported making heritage conservation covenants with owners of heritage properties in 2015
 - 5 heritage conservation covenants were made
- 20 respondents (15.4%) reported having heritage conservation areas in Official Community Plans
 - 36 heritage conservation areas were reported to exist
- 16 (12.3%) respondents reported having other special permit areas used to manage heritage value
 - Some of these included development permit areas and form and character guidelines

Heritage Conservation Incentives

- 17 respondents (13.1%) reported that they provided incentives to owners of heritage property in 2015
- 9 respondents (6.9%) reported that they had provided tax incentives for owners of residential and commercial heritage properties;
 - \$1,946,790.00 was the reported total value of property tax that will be forgiven for 2015 tax incentive projects
 - \$50,000.00 was the reported total private investment resulting from 2015 tax incentive projects
- 0 respondents (0%) reported that they had provided loans or loan guarantees for owners of heritage properties
- 10 respondents (7.7%) reported that they had provided grants to owners of heritage properties;
 - \$1,213,775.81 was the reported total value of grants provided
 - \$3,888,370.39 was the reported total private investment resulting from 2015 grant programs
- 4 respondents (3.1%) reported that they had provided other heritage conservation incentives;
 - \$105,000.00 was the reported total cost of the other incentives
 - \$102,000.00 was the reported total private investment resulting from other incentives
- 7 respondents (5.4%) reported that heritage preservation projects and/or programs are funded by incentives
- 13 respondents (10.0%) reported that heritage rehabilitation/restoration projects and/or programs are funded by incentives

Heritage Conservation Incentives (continued)

- 2 respondents (1.5%) reported that heritage research and documentation projects and/or programs are funded by incentives
- 2 respondents (1.5%) reported that heritage awareness or promotion projects and/or programs are funded by incentives
- 3 respondents (2.3%) reported that other projects and/or programs are funded by heritage-related incentives
 - These included grants and tax incentives for downtown revitalization

Heritage Investment

- 72 respondents (55.4%) reported that their local government owns or manages historic places
 - \$4,275,610.62 was the reported total expenditure by 72 respondents (55.4%) for the preservation, rehabilitation or restoration of heritage property owned and/or managed by local government
- 482 historic places were reported to be owned by local governments
- 20 of the 72 respondents (27.8 %) reported that historic places are a source of revenue for their local government
 - \$662,084.63 was the reported estimated annual income generated by these historic places
- Out of 72 local governments, respondents reported that local government-owned historic places had the following uses:
 - 9 respondents (6.9%) reported residential
 - 12 respondents (9.2%) reported commercial
 - 37 respondents (28.5%) reported non-profit
 - 50 respondents (38.5%) reported public space
 - 18 respondents (13.9%) reported other
 - These included institutional uses and special events spaces
- 50 respondents (38.5%) reported having staff working on heritage planning and projects
 - 82 staff persons were reported to be working on heritage matters
 - 12 of the 82 (14.1%) were reported to spend 100% of staff time on heritage matters
 - 70 of the 82 (85.9%) were reported to spend an average of 14.4% of staff time on heritage matters
- 48 respondents (36.9%) reported having volunteers working on heritage matters
 - 677 volunteers were reported to be working on heritage matters
 - Each volunteer was reported to contribute approximately 20.5 hours per month
 - Tasks that volunteers perform include serving on Heritage Advisory Committees and Heritage Commissions, fundraising, museum operations and promotions

Heritage and Sustainability

- 124 local governments (95.4%) responded to the question: “How aware are you of the environmental benefits of historic place conservation?”
 - 5 of the respondents (4.0%) reported that they are extremely aware
 - 35 of the respondents (28.2%) reported that they are very aware
 - 38 of the respondents (30.7%) reported that they are moderately aware
 - 27 of the respondents (21.8%) reported that they are slightly aware
 - 19 of the respondents (15.3%) reported that they are not at all aware
- 31 local governments reported applying for funding through federal programs like the Green Municipal Fund

First Nations Partnerships

- 40 local governments (30.8%) reported working with First Nations governments on heritage conservation matters in 2015
 - Heritage conservation matters included consultation in regards to development and community planning, heritage appreciation and interpretation activities, archaeology and cemeteries

Heritage BC and the Heritage Branch extend their gratitude to everyone who responded to this year's annual survey.

For more information, or for detailed reports, please contact Heritage BC at info@heritagebc.ca or 1-855-349-7243.



Parks Canada

www.pc.gc.ca

Français

Home

Contact Us

Help

Search

Canada.ca

[Home](#) > [World Heritage in Canada](#) > [Canada's Tentative List](#) > Submit an application

World Heritage in Canada

[About World Heritage](#)
[Canadian Sites on the World Heritage List](#)
[Celebrating World Heritage in Canada](#)
[Frequently Asked Questions](#)
[Canada's Tentative List](#)
[Updating Canada's Tentative List](#)
[Submit an application](#)
[Application resource materials](#)
[Join the Ministerial Advisory Committee](#)
[Process and Timelines](#)
[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

World Heritage in Canada

Submit an application for Canada's Tentative List

You are invited to prepare and submit an application for consideration for Canada's Tentative List for World Heritage Sites.

To prepare your application:

1. [Read the Tentative List Information document](#) (PDF, 448 Kb)
2. [Apply using the Tentative List application template](#) (DOCX, 289 Kb)

The application must include information about:

- how the property meets the World Heritage criteria and requirements,
- local support for the application, and
- a preliminary indication of how the proponent would coordinate the development of a full nomination for inscription of a World Heritage property over the next ten years.

Preparing an application

The process of updating Canada's Tentative List will reflect the standards and practices of the World Heritage Convention. These requirements are prescribed in the [Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention](#). Applications for inclusion on Canada's Tentative List of World Heritage Sites will need to include a description of how the property could meet these requirements. To be included on the World Heritage List, sites must first and foremost demonstrate Outstanding Universal Value by meeting at least one of [ten natural and cultural heritage criteria](#). They must also meet the relevant conditions of integrity and authenticity (for cultural properties), as well as the requirements for protection and management.

Applications for the Tentative List must also demonstrate landowner consent, engagement and support of local Indigenous communities, and the support and engagement of local stakeholders.

[Additional resource materials](#) are available to assist in the development of your application.

Deadlines

Applications are due by **January 27, 2017**. At the request of the proponent, Parks Canada will review applications received by December 16, 2016 and provide guidance as to whether any information may be missing.

Application review

Applications will be reviewed by an independent [Ministerial Advisory Committee](#) of **H.3** Canadian experts in the fields of natural and cultural heritage. The Advisory Committee will recommend to the Minister of Environment and Climate Change and Minister responsible for Parks Canada the inclusion of those properties with strong potential for successful inscription as World Heritage Sites.

More information

Parks Canada officials are available to answer your questions.

Email: tentativelist@pc.gc.ca

Telephone: 1-866-862-3378

Date Modified 2016-08-08


[Top of Page](#)

[Important Notices](#)